

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-7906

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

LEROY CURTIS RICHARDSON, JR.,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Winston-Salem. Richard C. Erwin, Senior District Judge. (CR-93-238-WS, CA-94-558-6)

Submitted: April 15, 1996

Decided: April 29, 1996

Before ERVIN and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and CHAPMAN, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Marcia Gail Shein, LAW OFFICE OF MARCIA G. SCHEIN, P.C., Atlanta, Georgia, for Appellant. David Bernard Smith, Assistant United States Attorney, Greensboro, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Leroy Curtis Richardson, Jr., appeals the district court's decision denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (1988) motion. Richardson claims his trial attorney rendered ineffective assistance by failing to inform him of the consequences of his plea agreement and that the district court improperly computed his sentence. The ineffective assistance claims are without merit, as the record reveals that Richardson was informed of the consequences of his plea by his attorney, the plea agreement, and the court. See Hill v. Lockhart, 474 U.S. 52 (1985); Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984); Blackledge v. Allison, 431 U.S. 63 (1977). Additionally, Richardson waived his right to appeal the sentencing claims by entering into the plea agreement, which waived his right to direct appeal. See Stone v. Powell, 428 U.S. 465 (1976). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's decision. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED